

OCT 0 9 2018

Honolulu Civil Beat, Inc. Michael Mohr, Registered Agent 3465 Waialae Avenue, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96816

RE: MUR 7431

Honolulu Civil Beat, Inc.

Dear Mr. Mohr:

On July 23, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On October 2, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Honolulu Civil Beat, Inc. violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely.

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

**Assistant General Counsel** 

**Enclosure:** 

Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
2 3	RESPONDENTS: Hono	olulu Civil Beat, Inc.	MUR 7431
4 5	This matter was generated by a Complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election		
6	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission regulations by Honolulu Civil		
7	Beat, Inc. It was scored as a low-rated matter under the Enforcement Priority System, by which		
8	the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which		
9	matters to pursue.		
10	The Complaint alleges that Honolulu Civil Beat made an in-kind contribution by		
11	publishing one Senate candidate's answers to the newspaper's questions about political issues		
12	before it published the answers from another nine candidates, including the Complainant. <sup>1</sup>		
13	Honolulu Civil Beat responds that it is a non-profit online newspaper and is covered by the		
14	media exemption. <sup>2</sup>		
15	The Act and Commission regulations exclude from the definitions of "contribution" and		
16	"expenditure" the cost incurred in covering or carrying a news story, commentary, or editorial b		
17	any broadcasting station, newspaper, Web site, magazine, or other periodical publication,		
18	including any Internet or electronic publication, unless such facilities are owned or controlled by		
19	any political party, political committee, or candidate. <sup>3</sup>		
	Complet 1-2 (July 17		,

Compl. at 1-2 (July 17, 2018).

Resp. at 1 (Aug. 2, 2018). It further explains that it asked approximately 275 candidates running for office in Hawaii to fill out questionnaires, and publishes approximately six each day so that readers are not overwhelmed by the number of articles posted on a single day. Id.

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30101(9)(B)(i); see also 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.73 (excluding bona fide news coverage from the definition of "contribution"); 100.132 (same as to the definition of "expenditure"). The Commission uses a two-step analysis to determine whether the media exemption applies. First, the Commission considers whether the entity in question is a media entity, focusing on whether the entity produces, on a regular basis, a program that disseminates news stories, editorials, and/or commentary. Factual and Legal Analysis at 5-6, MUR 7206 (Bonneville International Corp., et al.) ("Bonneville F&LA"); Advisory Op. 2016-01 (Ethiq) at 2 ("AO 2016-01"); Advisory Op.

Case Closure — MUR 7431 (Honolulu Civil Beat, et al.)
Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 2

- 1 The available information shows, and Complainant admits, that Honolulu Civil Beat
- 2 regularly publishes news stories and there is no information to suggest that it is owned or
- 3 operated by a political party, political committee, or candidate. It appears that when Honolulu
- 4 Civil Beat published the candidates' answers, it was operating within its legitimate press
- 5 function. Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Honolulu Civil Beat, Inc.
- 6 violated the Act and Commission regulations.

2010-08 (Citizens United) at 2 ("AO 2010-08"). Second, the Commission considers two factors in determining the scope of the exemption: (1) whether the press entity is owned or controlled by a political party, political committee, or candidate; and, if not, (2) whether the media entity is acting as a media entity in conducting the activity at issue (i.e., whether the entity is acting in its "legitimate press function"). Bonneville F&LA at 5; AO 2016-01 at 3; AO 2010-08; AO 2010-08 at 3. With respect to the second factor, when determining whether an entity is engaging in a legitimate media function, the Commission examines (1) whether the entity's materials are available to the general public; and (2) whether they are comparable in form to those ordinarily issued by the entity. Bonneville F&LA at 6-7; AO 2016-01 at 3; AO 2010-08 at 6.